

Report of Geotechnical Exploration Program

**Proposed Elementary School Addition
Parshall, North Dakota
MTS #G22-029**

For

EngTech

May 26, 2022

May 26, 2022

Attn: Mr. Trevor Huffaker
EngTech
4207 Boulder Ridge Road, Suite 225
Bismarck, ND 58503

ref: **Geotechnical Exploration Program
Proposed Elementary School Addition
Parshall, North Dakota
Laboratory Number MTS #G22-029**

Dear Mr. Huffaker,

Enclosed is the report of the geotechnical exploration that we recently conducted for the proposed project. We are transmitting this report as an electronic file in pdf format. If you require a hard copy, please contact us. The work was conducted in general accordance with our proposal dated March 30, 2022.

Approximately 50 percent of the soil samples will be stored at the laboratory for a period of approximately 30 days from the date of this report. The samples will then be discarded unless we are requested to store them for a longer period of time.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If there are questions about the data or our recommendations, please contact us at 701-852-5553. Also, please contact us when you are ready for excavation observations and compaction test of controlled fill.

Sincerely,

MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES, LLC



Anthony Francis, P.E.
Geotechnical Engineer



TABLE OF CONTENTS

**Proposed Elementary School Addition
Parshall, North Dakota
MTS #G22-029**

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Authorization.....	1
1.2. Scope of Services.....	1
2. ENGINEERING REVIEW.....	2
2.1. Project Data.....	2
2.2. Special Concerns and Constructability.....	2
2.3. Excavation and Site Preparation	3
2.3.1. Building	3
2.4. Frost Considerations	5
2.5. Foundation Recommendations.....	5
2.5.1. Footing Depths	5
2.5.2. Bearing Capacity and Settlement	5
2.5.3. Lateral Earth Pressures.....	6
2.5.4. Methods of Analysis	6
2.6. Floor Slabs	7
2.7. Exterior Foundation Backfill	7
2.8. Surface Drainage	8
2.9. Exterior Slabs.....	8
2.10. Excavation Slopes.....	9
3. CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION AND TESTING.....	9
3.1. Excavation Observations.....	9
3.2. Placement of Fill.....	10
4. EXPLORATION LIMITATIONS	10

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – FIELD EXPLORATION PROGRAM

- A.1 Exploration Scope
- A.2 Surface Observations
- A.3 Subsurface Conditions
- A.4 Water Levels
- A.5 Soil Sampling
- A.6 Soil Classification Procedure

Attachments to Appendix A

Location Maps
Soil Profile Drawing
Boring Logs
Symbols & Descriptive Terminology on Test Boring Logs
Soil Classification Sheet

APPENDIX B – LABORATORY TEST PROGRAM

- B.1 Testing Scope
- B.2 Index Properties
- B.3 Strength Testing

Attachments to Appendix B

Mechanical Sieve Analysis

APPENDIX C

Precautions for Excavating & Refilling During Cold Weather

Report of Geotechnical Exploration

**Proposed Elementary School Addition
Parshall, North Dakota
MTS #G22-029**

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Authorization

This work was conducted in accordance with our proposal dated March 30, 2022.

1.2. Scope of Services

The authorized scope of services included soil borings, laboratory testing and an engineering report.

Authorized drilling included a total of nine soil borings. Four borings were drilled to a nominal depth of 25 feet and five borings were drilled to a nominal depth of 20 feet. The boring locations were selected by EngTech. Soil sampling was to be performed using standard penetration test (SPT) procedures. Laboratory tests in the proposal included moisture content, dry density, gradation through a #200 sieve, Atterberg limits (liquid & plastic limits) and unconfined compression testing. If soft cohesive soils were encountered undisturbed 3-inch diameter thin walled Shelby tubes were to be taken.

The authorized engineering report includes the results of the field and laboratory testing as well as engineering recommendations regarding:

- a. Site preparation
- b. Foundation types and depths
- c. Allowable bearing capacity and estimated potential foundation settlement
- d. Potential construction difficulties
- e. Potential expansive or compressible soils
- f. Site drainage
- g. Exterior backfill
- h. Floor slabs
- i. Frost considerations
- j. Lateral Earth Pressures
- k. Excavation slopes
- l. Construction monitoring

Determining if there is potential on-site contamination is not included in the scope of services.

2. ENGINEERING REVIEW

2.1. Project Data

If the project information presented below is not correct or has been changed, it is necessary that the correct project data be presented to us for further review.

The proposed project will include an elementary school addition to the north and west side of the existing high school building with an option for a library addition on the east side.

The elementary addition will be a one and two-story, slab on grade structure with a finished floor elevation matching the existing building. It is anticipated that the addition will be founded on shallow frost footings with above grade precast concrete and steel stud walls with interior structural steel framing. We understand strip footing loads will range from 4 to 11 kips per foot and the maximum column loads will be approximately 100 kips. We assume the maximum settlement under working load is 1 inch and a maximum differential settlement of ½ inch.

2.2. Special Concerns and Constructability

Uncontrolled fill and topsoil were encountered to depths of approximately 0.5 to 9 feet below grade at our boring locations. The uncontrolled fill and topsoil should not be relied upon for support of the proposed building additions. We recommend that the uncontrolled fill and topsoil be removed from the building areas and replaced with controlled, compacted fill. It may be possible to use the existing non-organic uncontrolled fill as controlled, compacted fill.

The existing high school building was constructed in 2018 and 2019. We understand that soil corrections were done to prepare the building pad for construction at that time. It is

likely any fill adjacent to the existing structure was properly placed and compacted. Additional observations and testing of the fill adjacent to the existing structure should be done during excavation procedures to confirm its suitability.

2.3. Excavation and Site Preparation

2.3.1. Building

We recommend that the uncontrolled fill and topsoil in the building area be removed and replaced with controlled, compacted fill. The following tables lists the estimated excavation depths that will be required at the borings for the structures.

Table 1 – Excavation Depths

Boring	Ground Elevation	Depth to Bottom of Excavation (ft)	Elev of Bottom of Excavation (ft)
SB-1	98.7	0.5	98.2
SB-2	99.3	5.0	94.3
SB-3	99.2	5.0	94.2
SB-4	98.6	4.0	94.6
SB-5	99.1	6.0	93.1
SB-6	99.2	9.0	90.2
SB-7	99.7	6.0	93.7
SB-8	98.6	0.9	97.9
SB-9	99.3	11.0	88.3

If excavations extend below the bottom of footing depth, we recommend providing a lateral excavation oversize of 1 foot for each 1 foot of fill required below the bottom of the new foundations. The oversize should be measured from the bottom and outside edge of the foundations.

Care should be taken not to undermine the footings for the existing building. If excavations to extend below the bottom of the existing footings, the excavation should be sloped at 2:1, horizontal to vertical, from the edge of the existing footing to the bottom of excavation. An expansion joint should be provided between the existing structure and the new addition to

allow for differential movement between the two structures.

We recommend that the excavated areas be observed by the geotechnical engineer of record or their representative prior to the placement of concrete or controlled, compacted fill. The purpose of the observations would be to make sure that no existing fill or soft natural soil is left in place and that the exposed natural soils are capable of supporting the proposed fill and structural loads. We wish to point out that there could be deeper excavations required away from the boring locations.

We recommend that a hydraulic excavator be used for foundation excavation. It should have a smooth cutting edge on the bucket. If the bottom of the excavation exposes the native clay soil it should not be surface compacted prior to placement of controlled, compacted fill. However, any loose materials should be removed as much as possible. Exposed sands should be surface compacted with a minimum of three passes with a heavy vibratory roller.

After the excavations are complete, backfill should be placed as soon as possible. Also, care should be taken so that the grades slope away from the excavations in the event that rainfall is experienced. Although it is impossible to completely keep rain water from the site, provisions must be made to protect the excavations as much as possible from the influx of rainwater or surface runoff both during and after construction. Water and any soft/saturated soils should be removed as soon as possible.

The on-site sandy lean clay that is free of organic material may be used as controlled, compacted fill. Any import fill needed for the building pad can consist of non-expansive, non-organic lean clay, or pit-run sand or sand with gravel. Any gravel in the fill should have 100 percent passing the 2-inch sieve. If lean clay is used it should have a liquid limit of 40 or less. We would not recommend using fat clay (CH) for fill below the building. All clays should be placed at a moisture content of minus 2 to plus 3 percent of optimum moisture content. Sand should be moisture conditioned as necessary to facilitate compaction.

Loose lift thicknesses of new fill should be no more than 8 inches. Any fill that is to support footings should be compacted to at least 98 percent of maximum dry density as determined according to ASTM D 698 (standard Proctor). Any fill below the floor slab should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the standard Proctor.

If earthwork is done during periods of freezing temperatures, we recommend protecting the fill from freezing once it has been placed. No frozen soils should be used as fill and fill should not be placed on frozen ground. Earthwork could be difficult in the spring or late fall when conditions are often cool and wet.

2.4. Frost Considerations

Footings should be carried to frost depth as indicated in the following sections. Also, no frozen soils should be used as fill and no fill should be placed on frozen ground. Furthermore, the soils should be protected from freezing once they have been placed and compacted and until the building can be heated. Please note the attached information sheet "*Precautions for Excavating and Refilling During Cold Weather*" in Appendix C.

2.5. Foundation Recommendations

2.5.1. Footing Depths

Perimeter footings for heated buildings should be carried to a frost depth of 5 feet. The frost depth should be considered from final grade to the bottom of the footing. Interior footings can be placed at a convenient depth below the floor slab.

For footings which will be in an unheated environment, we recommend using a frost depth of 7 feet.

2.5.2. Bearing Capacity and Settlement

With the recommended site preparation, on-site observations by the geotechnical engineer and adequate compaction testing of the new fill, it is our opinion that continuous strip and column footings can be proportioned for a net allowable soil bearing capacity of up to 2000

psf. Isolated column footings can be proportioned for a net allowable soil bearing capacity of up to 2400 psf. This loading should provide a theoretical safety factor of 3 or more with respect to punching shear failure.

Our calculations suggest that total settlements should be 1 inch or less and that the differential settlement should be ½ inch or less.

2.5.3. Lateral Earth Pressures

Walls that must retain earth should be designed for the at-rest lateral earth pressure. For the clays at this site we recommend that the at-rest pressure be considered equivalent to that generated by a fluid with a total unit weight of 65 pcf above groundwater levels. For active loads, we recommend using 45 pcf for the on-site clays above groundwater levels.

To resist lateral loads, we recommend assuming that the natural undisturbed clays have an ultimate passive pressure equivalent to that generated by a fluid having a total unit weight of 375 pcf. If compacted fill is placed on the passive side next to the foundation resisting lateral loads, it should be compacted to at least 95 percent of maximum density as determined by ASTM D 698. In addition, those portions of the foundations within five feet of final grade in unheated areas should be ignored when calculating passive resistance due to frost softening. An ultimate friction factor of 0.30 can be used between the bottom of the footing and the foundation soils. We wish to point out that these values will give the ultimate resistance to lateral loads. We recommend that a theoretical safety factor of 2.0 be applied for a safe design.

2.5.4. Methods of Analysis

The allowable foundation loading recommended was arrived at using the Terzaghi-Meyerhof bearing capacity equation with estimates using empirical correlations with the “N” values, as well as our experience with similar site and soil conditions.

Settlements were estimated using empirical correlations between the “N” values and the pressuremeter modulus, with consideration given to soil type. The pressuremeter modulus is determined with in-situ pressuremeter testing. The pressuremeter method of analysis was then used.

The equivalent passive, at-rest and active earth pressures were calculated using estimated unit weights and estimated angles of internal friction based on our experience, the laboratory testing and correlations with the Atterberg limits and soil type.

2.6. Floor Slabs

We recommend that the site be prepared as stated in **Section 2.3**. We recommend that slabs-on-grade be constructed structurally independent of foundation walls and columns. We also recommend a minimum 6-inch layer of free draining sand be placed directly below the slabs. The sand should have less than seven percent passing the #200 sieve by weight. If it contains gravel, the gravel should have a maximum size of one inch. The sandy layer will be used to provide a working surface for concrete placement and serve as a capillary break.

With the site prepared as recommended, it is our opinion that the subgrade modulus of 200 pci can be used if a minimum of 18 inches of granular fill is used below the slab. A subgrade modulus of 150 pci can be used if clay fill is used to within 6 inches of the bottom of the slab.

2.7. Exterior Foundation Backfill

The exterior foundation backfill above the footings could consist of the on-site non-organic sandy lean clay fill, or off-site lean clay or pit-run sand and gravel. The exterior backfill should be placed in loose lift thicknesses not to exceed 8 inches. Compaction should be to a minimum of 92 percent of the standard Proctor density in lawn areas. For sidewalks or lightly loaded structures such as air conditioning units, the compaction should be increased to 95 percent. If there will be driveways or parking areas within the backfill zone the fill 1 foot or more below the bottom of pavements should be compacted to at least 95 percent

and fill within 1 foot should be compacted to at least 98 percent. Clay fill should be placed at a moisture content of -2 to +3 percent of the optimum moisture content and sand fill should be moisture conditioned as required to facilitate compaction.

2.8. Surface Drainage

We advise that adequate drainage be maintained during and after construction. Unless roof drainage is internal, we also recommend that downspouts and gutters or an appropriate closed conduit system be used to control roof drainage. Downspouts should have extensions that carry roof water well past the backfill line. Splash pads should also be provided at the end of the extensions, if applicable.

If sand is used as exterior backfill, we recommend providing at least a 2-foot thick clay cap in green areas at the surface to divert surface water away from the building.

The exterior clay backfill should slope away from the buildings at a rate of 1 inch per foot or greater for a distance of at least 10 feet from the building in lawn areas. In parking areas much positive surface drainage should be provided as practical.

2.9. Exterior Slabs

Due to the potential for frost movement for exterior slabs, precautions should be taken to minimize future post construction movement of sensitive slabs due to frost action. Options available include excavating frost susceptible soils to a depth of 5 feet below the slabs and replacing them with non-frost susceptible sand containing less than 5 percent passing the #200 sieve by weight. Another option would be to place at least 4 inches of extruded polystyrene foam insulation below the slabs and extend it at least 8 feet laterally past the edge of the slabs. Typically, 6 to 12 inches of sand is placed above the insulation for protection. A third option is to support the slabs or steps on foundations taken to frost depth. At least a 4-inch void should be provided below the slabs if this option is used.

Sensitive slabs are slabs that cannot tolerate much movement without causing some

difficulties. An example would be the sidewalk or steps in front of a doorway. It is **not** intended to include all exterior sidewalks and driveways, etc.

2.10. Excavation Slopes

Safe excavations must be maintained at all times. The excavation contractor is responsible for the safety of the excavations. Current OSHA requirements should be carefully followed when excavating for the back slope of the excavation. The OSHA soil type and excavation requirements must be verified by a competent person for the contractor at the time of construction. MTS does not assume responsibility for site safety or the contractors' activities.

3. CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION AND TESTING

The recommendations contained in this report have been made based on the subsurface conditions found at the boring locations. It is possible that there are soil conditions on site that were not represented by the borings. Also, in order to use shallow foundations on the soils at this site, we presumed that close construction monitoring during excavation and backfilling would be authorized. Consequently, on-site observation during construction is considered integral to the successful implementation of the recommendations.

It is imperative that the geotechnical engineer be on site at the following times to observe the site conditions and effectiveness of the construction. We recommend that the testing be performed by the geotechnical engineer as the **Owner's** representative during construction.

3.1. Excavation Observations

The geotechnical engineer should observe the entire excavation bottom of the excavation prior to the placement of engineered fill and/or concrete. He would also be available for additional consultation and recommendations if necessary.

3.2. Placement of Fill

It will also be necessary to perform a representative number of compaction tests during placement of engineered fill. The tests should be performed to determine if the required compaction was achieved. As a general guideline, tests should be taken for each 2,500 square feet embankment fill, every 75 to 100 feet in trench fill, and for each 2-foot thickness of fill. The actual number of tests should be left to the discretion of the geotechnical engineer. n

4. EXPLORATION LIMITATIONS

The recommendations contained in this report represent our professional opinions. These opinions were arrived at according to currently accepted engineering practices at this time and location. Other than this, no warranty is intended or implied.

This report is written by:



Anthony Francis, P.E.
Geotechnical Engineer



Reviewed by:



Steve Wald, P.E.
President



Anthony Francis, P.E.
Date: 05/26/22

APPENDIX A – FIELD EXPLORATION PROGRAM

- A.1 Exploration Scope
- A.2 Surface Observations
- A.3 Subsurface Conditions
- A.4 Water Levels
- A.5 Soil Sampling
- A.6 Soil Classification Procedure

Attachments to Appendix A

Location Maps
Soil Profile Drawing
Boring Logs
Symbols & Descriptive Terminology on Test Boring Logs
Soil Classification Sheet

A. FIELD EXPLORATION PROGRAM

A.1 Exploration Scope

Four borings were drilled to a nominal depth of 25 feet and five borings were drilled to a nominal depth of 20 feet for the project. They were drilled on May 18, 2022. The boring locations are illustrated on the attached drawings at the back of this Appendix.

The subsurface conditions encountered at the site are noted on the attached boring logs and soil profile drawing.

The borings were backfilled with on-site materials and some settlement of these materials can be expected to occur. The final closure of the holes is the responsibility of the client or property owner.

A.2 Surface Observations

The surface elevations at the test boring locations were obtained using a temporary benchmark (TBM), the top of the floor slab at the northwest entrance of the existing building. The TBM was assigned elevation 100.0 feet, assumed datum.

The site was located south of 7th Avenue NE and west of Main Street in Parshall, ND. The area for the proposed addition is currently green space around the existing school. Grade elevations at the boring locations ranged from 99.7 at SB-7 to 98.6 feet at SB-4 and SB-8.

A.3 Subsurface Conditions

The subsurface conditions encountered at the test locations are illustrated by means of the attached boring logs. We wish to point out that the subsurface conditions at other times and locations at the site may differ from those found at our test boring locations. If different conditions are encountered during construction, it is necessary that you contact us so that our recommendations can be reviewed. The test boring log also shows the possible geologic origin of the materials encountered.

Topsoil and fill were encountered at the boring locations to depths of approximately 0.5 to 11 feet below existing grade. Below the topsoil and fill, the borings encountered naturally deposited sandy lean clay, fat clay, lignite, silty sand, and silt to the termination depths.

Based on the standard penetration resistance ("N" values), the clayey soils were typically soft to hard in consistency. The sand and silt were typically loose to medium dense.

A.4 Water Levels

Groundwater measurements were made in the test borings during drilling and at completion of drilling before the borings were backfilled. This information is shown at bottom of the attached boring logs.

Groundwater was observed at approximately 8.5 to 14.5 feet below existing grade.

Water levels should be expected to fluctuate seasonally and annually. The water levels at the time of construction could be significantly different than what was recorded on the boring logs. The time of year the borings were drilled and the history of precipitation prior to drilling should be known when using the groundwater readings on the boring logs to extrapolate water levels at other points in time.

A.5 Soil Sampling


Soil sampling was done according to the procedures described by ASTM D1586. Using this procedure, a 2-inch O.D. split barrel sampler is driven into the soil by a 140-lb weight falling 30 inches. After an initial set of 6 inches, the number of blows required to drive the sampler an additional 12 inches is known as penetration resistance or "N" value. The "N" value is an index of the relative density of cohesionless soils and the consistency of cohesive soils.

We are retaining representative samples of the soil obtained during our field operations for approximately one month. We will then discard them unless we are notified further as to

their disposition.

A.6 Soil Classification Procedure

As the samples were obtained in the field they were visually and manually classified by the crew chief according to ASTM D 2488. Representative portions of all samples were then sealed and returned to the laboratory for further examination and for verification of the field classification. In addition, selected samples were then submitted to a program of laboratory tests. Logs of the borings indicating the depth and identification of the various strata, the "N" value, the laboratory test data, water level information and pertinent information regarding the method of maintaining and advancing the drill holes are also attached. Charts illustrating the soil classification procedures, the descriptive terminology and symbols used on the boring logs are also attached.



1 SOIL BORING LOCATIONS
1" = 40'-0"

ELEMENTARY ADDITION
PARSHALL PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT NO.3
601 MAIN STREET, PARSHALL, ND 58870

EngTech
 ENGINEERS - ARCHITECTS
 FORENSIC EXPERTS

4207 BOULDER RIDGE RD., SUITE 225
 BISMARCK, ND 58503
 PHONE: (701) 258-7077
 www.engechnd.com


REFERENCE SHEET TITLE: SOIL BORINGS
 REVISION DESCRIPTION:

DATE:

SCALE: 1" = 40'-0"

PROJECT NO.: 999-540-006

SKETCH: SB111




Building Additions

580057200

0.86

BRAVES

MAIN ST.



Boring	North	East	Elev.	Depth
SB-1	0	100	98.7	26.0
SB-2	0	200	99.3	21.0
SB-3	0	300	99.2	21.0
SB-4	0	400	98.6	26.0
SB-5	0	500	99.1	21.0
SB-6	0	600	99.2	21.0
SB-7	0	700	99.7	26.0
SB-8	0	800	98.6	26.0
SB-9	0	900	99.3	21.0

SOIL PROFILE DIAGRAM		
Material Testing Services, LLC		
Elementary School Addition		
Parshall, ND		
PROJECT #	DATE	PLATE
G22-029	May 22	1

MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES, LLC

**Box 634
Minot, North Dakota 58702
(701) 852-5553**

SOIL BORING RECORD

BORING NUMBER **SB-1**

SHEET **1** OF **1**

PROJECT

Elementary School Addition

PROJECT LOCATION

Parshall, ND

PROJECT NUMBER

G22-029

START DATE

5/18/22

FINISH DATE

5/18/22

LAYER DEPTH/ ELEVATION (FT)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	GEOLOGY	SAMPLE					TEST RESULTS					
					TYPE	LEGEND	D (pcf)	N VALUES	BLOWS/FT	WATER LEVEL	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	Qu (psf)	
98.7	Topsoil, Organic Lean Clay, black (OL)		98.7	Topsoil	SB	X		9							
98.2	Sandy Lean Clay, trace gravel, brown, firm to hard (CL)		93.5	Glacial Till	SB	X		17							
			93.7		SB	X		10							
					SB	X		15							
			88.1		SB	X		11							
12.0	Fat Clay, gray, firm to hard (CL)		88.7	Sentinel Butte Formation	SB	X	97	17		27	58	22			
86.7					SB	X		14							
			83.1		SB	X		28							
					SB	X		11							
19.0	Sandy Lean Clay, brown, hard (CL)		79.7		SB	X									
79.7					SB	X									
23.0	Fat Clay, gray, firm (CH)		75.7		SB	X									
75.7					SB	X									
26.0	End of Boring		73.7												
72.7															

NM = None Measured

DRILLER	JF	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING MUD LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
METHOD	4" FA from 0-24.5'		5/18/22	1045	26	none	26		24
LOGGER	AG		5/18/22	1750	26	none	19.9		9.1
REVIEWER	AF								
DRILL RIG	CME 45								

MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES, LLC
Box 634
Minot, North Dakota 58702
(701) 852-5553

SOIL BORING RECORD

BORING NUMBER **SB-2** SHEET **1** OF **1**
PROJECT **Elementary School Addition**
PROJECT LOCATION **Parshall, ND**
PROJECT NUMBER **G22-029**
START DATE **5/18/22** FINISH DATE **5/18/22**

LAYER DEPTH/ ELEVATION (FT)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	GEOLOGY	SAMPLE					TEST RESULTS				
					TYPE	LEGEND	D (pcf)	N VALUES	BLOWS/FT	WATER LEVEL	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	Qu (psf)
0.9	Fill, mostly Sandy Lean Clay , trace organics, dark brown		99.3	Fill	SB			10						
98.4					15									
5.0					13									
94.3	Sandy Lean Clay , trace gravel, brown, soft to firm (CL)		94.5	Glacial Till	SB			12						
					13									
					7									
14.0	Silty Sand , fine grained, gray, wet to waterbearing, loose (SM)		89.3	Coarse Alluvium	SB			8	▼	▼				
85.3														
18.0	Sandy Lean Clay , trace gravel, brown, very hard (CL)		81.3	Glacial Till	SB			100/6"						
81.3														
21.0	End of Boring		79.3		SB									
78.3														
NM = None Measured														

DRILLER	JF	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING MUD LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
METHOD	4" FA from 0-19.5'		5/18/22	1725	21	none	19.3		16.1
LOGGER	AG		5/18/22	1800	21	none	19		14.9
REVIEWER	AF								
DRILL RIG	CME 45								

MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES, LLC
Box 634
Minot, North Dakota 58702
(701) 852-5553

SOIL BORING RECORD

BORING NUMBER **SB-3** SHEET **1** OF **1**
PROJECT **Elementary School Addition**
PROJECT LOCATION **Parshall, ND**
PROJECT NUMBER **G22-029**
START DATE **5/18/22** FINISH DATE **5/18/22**

LAYER DEPTH/ ELEVATION (FT)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	GEOLOGY	SAMPLE					TEST RESULTS						
					TYPE	LEGEND	D (pcf)	N VALUES	BLOWS/FT	WATER LEVEL	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	Qu (psf)		
98.7	Fill, mostly Sandy Lean Clay , trace organics, dark brown		99.2	Fill	SB			10								
	Fill, mostly Sandy Lean Clay , trace gravel, brown					SB			15							
5.0					SB			8								
94.2	Sandy Lean Clay , trace gravel, brown, soft to firm (CL)		94.5	Glacial Till	3T		100			17						
						SB			15							
				89.2		SB			16							
						SB			13		21					
			84.2		SB			7								
19.0																
80.2	Fat Clay , gray to brown, hard (CH)		79.2	Sentinel Butte Formation	SB			24								
21.0																
78.2	End of Boring															

















NM = None Measured

DRILLER	JF	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING MUD LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
METHOD	4" FA from 0-19.5'		5/18/22	1135	21	none	20.4		14.2
LOGGER	AG		5/18/22	1810	21	none	14.9		10.1
REVIEWER	AF								
DRILL RIG	CME 45								

MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES, LLC
Box 634
Minot, North Dakota 58702
(701) 852-5553

SOIL BORING RECORD

BORING NUMBER **SB-4** SHEET **1** OF **1**
PROJECT **Elementary School Addition**
PROJECT LOCATION **Parshall, ND**
PROJECT NUMBER **G22-029**
START DATE **5/18/22** FINISH DATE **5/18/22**

LAYER DEPTH/ ELEVATION (FT)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	GEOLOGY	SAMPLE					TEST RESULTS				
					TYPE	LEGEND	D (pcf)	N VALUES	BLOWS/FT	WATER LEVEL	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	Qu (psf)
0.9	Fill, mostly Sandy Lean Clay , trace organics, dark brown		98.0	Fill	SB			10						
97.7			SB											13
4.0	Sandy Lean Clay , trace gravel, brown, soft to firm (CL)		94.6	Glacial Till	SB			7						
93.5			SB											9
88.1			SB											11
12.0	Sandy Silt , brown, waterbearing, medium dense (ML)		86.6	Fine Alluvium	SB			17	23					
83.5			SB											14
18.0	Lignite , black, firm		80.6	Sentinel Butte Formation	SB			12						
22.0			SB											
76.6	Fat Clay , gray, hard (CH)				SB			26						
26.0	End of Boring		73.6											
72.6														

NM = None Measured

DRILLER	JF	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING MUD LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
METHOD	4" FA from 0-24.5'		5/18/22	1230	26	none	23.9		12.7
LOGGER	AG		5/18/22	1815	26	none	17.9		8.5
REVIEWER	AF								
DRILL RIG	CME 45								

MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES, LLC
Box 634
Minot, North Dakota 58702
(701) 852-5553

SOIL BORING RECORD

BORING NUMBER **SB-5** SHEET **1** OF **1**
PROJECT **Elementary School Addition**
PROJECT LOCATION **Parshall, ND**
PROJECT NUMBER **G22-029**
START DATE **5/18/22** FINISH DATE **5/18/22**

LAYER DEPTH/ ELEVATION (FT)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	GEOLOGY	SAMPLE					TEST RESULTS				
					TYPE	LEGEND	D (pcf)	N VALUES	BLOWS/FT	WATER LEVEL	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	Qu (psf)
99.1	Fill, mostly Organic Lean Clay, black		99.1	Fill	SB			7						
98.6	Fill, mostly Sandy Lean Clay, trace gravel, brown to dark brown		SB		18									
94.5			94.5	Glacial Till	SB			14						
6.0			SB		15									
93.1	Sandy Lean Clay, trace gravel, brown, firm (CL)		SB		11			▼						
89.1			89.1	Fine Alluvium	SB			20						
13.0			SB		17			▼						
86.1	Sandy Silt, brown, waterbearing, medium dense (ML)		84.1		SB			17						
19.0			SB		17									
80.1	Fat Clay, with seams of lignite, gray, hard (CH)		79.1	Sentinel Butte Formation	SB			17						
21.0			SB		17									
78.1	End of Boring													

NM = None Measured

DRILLER	JF	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING MUD LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
METHOD	4" FA from 0-19.5'		5/18/22	1450	21	none	19.9		11.9
LOGGER	AG		5/18/22	1820	21	none	17.9		10.1
REVIEWER	AF								
DRILL RIG	CME 45								

MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES, LLC
Box 634
Minot, North Dakota 58702
(701) 852-5553

SOIL BORING RECORD

BORING NUMBER **SB-6** SHEET **1** OF **1**
PROJECT **Elementary School Addition**
PROJECT LOCATION **Parshall, ND**
PROJECT NUMBER **G22-029**
START DATE **5/18/22** FINISH DATE **5/18/22**

LAYER DEPTH/ ELEVATION (FT)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	GEOLOGY	SAMPLE					TEST RESULTS				
					TYPE	LEGEND	D (pcf)	N VALUES	BLOWS/FT	WATER LEVEL	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	Qu (psf)
99.0	Fill, mostly Sandy Lean Clay , trace organics, dark brown		99.0	Fill	SB	X		5						
98.7	Fill, mostly Sandy Lean Clay , trace gravel, brown		SB	X		15								
94.5			SB	X		7								
92.2	Fill, mostly Sandy Lean Clay , trace organics, dark brown		SB	X		8			23					
90.2	Sandy Lean Clay , trace gravel, brown, firm (CL)				Glacial Till	SB	X		15	▼				
89.0					SB	X		14	▼					
84.5					SB	X		14						
81.2	Fat Clay , gray to brown, hard (CH)		81.2	Sentinel Butte Formation	SB	X		22						
79.2														
78.2	End of Boring													







NM = None Measured

DRILLER	JF	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING MUD LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
METHOD	4" FA from 0-19.5'		5/18/22	1540	21	none	19.9		12.9
LOGGER	AG		5/18/22	1830	21	none	18.9		10
REVIEWER	AF								
DRILL RIG	CME 45								

MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES, LLC
Box 634
Minot, North Dakota 58702
(701) 852-5553

SOIL BORING RECORD

BORING NUMBER **SB-7** SHEET **1** OF **1**
PROJECT **Elementary School Addition**
PROJECT LOCATION **Parshall, ND**
PROJECT NUMBER **G22-029**
START DATE **5/18/22** FINISH DATE **5/18/22**

LAYER DEPTH/ ELEVATION (FT)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	GEOLOGY	SAMPLE					TEST RESULTS				
					TYPE	LEGEND	D (pcf)	N VALUES	BLOWS/FT	WATER LEVEL	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	Qu (psf)
99.7 ⁰	Fill, mostly Sandy Lean Clay , trace gravel, brown to dark brown		99.7 ⁰	Fill	SB			10						
			SB		24									
			SB		9									
94.5 ⁵	trace organics at 5'		94.5 ⁵											
93.7	Sandy Lean Clay , trace gravel, brown, soft to firm (CL)			Glacial Till	SB			8						
			SB		103			7						
			SB		11									
			SB		9									
			SB		9									
79.7 ²⁰			79.7 ²⁰											
24.0	Fat Clay , gray, hard (CH)			Sentinel Butte Formation	SB			25						
75.7														
26.0														
73.7	End of Boring													
NM = None Measured														

DRILLER	JF	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING MUD LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
METHOD	4" FA from 0-24.5'		5/18/22	1635	26	none	23.2		11.1
LOGGER	AG		5/18/22	1640	26	none	23.2		9.6
REVIEWER	AF								
DRILL RIG	CME 45								

MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES, LLC
Box 634
Minot, North Dakota 58702
(701) 852-5553

SOIL BORING RECORD

BORING NUMBER **SB-8** SHEET **1** OF **1**
PROJECT **Elementary School Addition**
PROJECT LOCATION **Parshall, ND**
PROJECT NUMBER **G22-029**
START DATE **5/18/22** FINISH DATE **5/18/22**



















LAYER DEPTH/ ELEVATION (FT)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	GEOLOGY	SAMPLE					TEST RESULTS					
					TYPE	LEGEND	D (pcf)	N VALUES	BLOWS/FT	WATER LEVEL	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	Qu (psf)	
0.9	Topsoil, Organic Lean Clay , black (OL)		98.0	Topsoil	SB				7						
97.7	Sandy Lean Clay , brown, soft t of firm (CL)			Glacial Till	SB				9						
					SB				10						
			93.5		SB										
					SB				8						
10.0					SB		89	10		32					
88.6	Fat Clay , brown to gray, firm to hard (CH)		88.6	Sentinel Butte Formation	SB				20						
					SB										
			83.5		SB				13						
					SB										
	seams of sand at 20'		78.20		SB				27						
					SB										
			73.25		SB				25						
26.0															
72.6	End of Boring														
	NM = None Measured														

DRILLER	JF	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING MUD LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
METHOD	4" FA from 0-24.5'		5/18/22	944	26	none	23.9		23.1
LOGGER	AG		5/18/22	1745	26	none	14.1		13.6
REVIEWER	AF								
DRILL RIG	CME 45								

MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES, LLC
Box 634
Minot, North Dakota 58702
(701) 852-5553

SOIL BORING RECORD

BORING NUMBER **SB-9** SHEET **1** OF **1**
PROJECT **Elementary School Addition**
PROJECT LOCATION **Parshall, ND**
PROJECT NUMBER **G22-029**
START DATE **5/18/22** FINISH DATE **5/18/22**

LAYER DEPTH/ ELEVATION (FT)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	GEOLOGY	SAMPLE					TEST RESULTS				
					TYPE	LEGEND	D (pcf)	N VALUES	BLOWS/FT	WATER LEVEL	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	Qu (psf)
99.30	Fill, mostly Sandy Lean Clay , trace organics, brown to dark brown		99.30	Fill	SB			10						
			SB				11							
			SB				8							
			SB				7							
94.35	Fill/Buried Topsoil, mostly Organic Lean Clay , black		94.35	Glacial Till	SB			9						
9.0			SB				16							
90.3			SB				10							
11.0	Sandy Lean Clay , trace gravel, brown, firm (CL)		89.30	Glacial Till	SB			13						
88.3			SB				10							
19.0	Sandy Lean Clay , trace gravel, gray, firm (CL)		84.35	Glacial Till	SB			10						
80.3			SB				13							
21.0	End of Boring		79.3	Glacial Till	SB			13						
78.3			SB				13							

DRILLER	JF	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING MUD LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
METHOD	4" FA from 0-19.5'		5/18/22	1400	21	none	18.9		13.3
LOGGER	AG		5/18/22	1850	21	none	16.1		11.3
REVIEWER	AF								
DRILL RIG	CME 45								

SYMBOLS AND DESCRIPTIVE TERMINOLOGY ON TEST BORING LOG

SYMBOLS FOR DRILLING AND SAMPLING		SYMBOLS FOR LABORATORY TESTS																																																																																																																			
<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;">Symbol</td> <td>Description</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HSA</td> <td>3 1/4" I.D. hollow stem auger</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_FA</td> <td>4", 6" or 10" diameter flight auger</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_HA</td> <td>2", 4" or 6" hand auger</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_DC</td> <td>2 1/2", 4", 5" or 6" steel drive casing</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_RC</td> <td>Size A, B or N rotary casing</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PD</td> <td>Pipe drill or cleanout tube</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CS</td> <td>Continuous split barrel sampling</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DM</td> <td>Drilling mud</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JW</td> <td>Jetting water</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SB</td> <td>2" O.D. split barrel sampling</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_L</td> <td>2 1/2" or 3 1/2" O.D. SB liner sample</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_T</td> <td>2" or 3" thin walled tube sample</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3TP</td> <td>3" thin walled tube using pitcher sampler</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_TO</td> <td>2" or 3" thin walled tube using Osterberg sampler</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W</td> <td>Wash sample</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>Bag sample</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P</td> <td>Test pit sample</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_Q</td> <td>BQ, NQ, or PQ wireline system</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_X</td> <td>AX, BX, or NX double tube barrel</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N</td> <td>Standard penetration test, blows per foot</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CR</td> <td>Core recovery, percent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WL</td> <td>Water level</td> </tr> <tr> <td>?</td> <td>Water level</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NMR</td> <td>No measurement recorded, primarily due to presence of drilling or coring fluid</td> </tr> </table>	Symbol	Description	HSA	3 1/4" I.D. hollow stem auger	_FA	4", 6" or 10" diameter flight auger	_HA	2", 4" or 6" hand auger	_DC	2 1/2", 4", 5" or 6" steel drive casing	_RC	Size A, B or N rotary casing	PD	Pipe drill or cleanout tube	CS	Continuous split barrel sampling	DM	Drilling mud	JW	Jetting water	SB	2" O.D. split barrel sampling	_L	2 1/2" or 3 1/2" O.D. SB liner sample	_T	2" or 3" thin walled tube sample	3TP	3" thin walled tube using pitcher sampler	_TO	2" or 3" thin walled tube using Osterberg sampler	W	Wash sample	B	Bag sample	P	Test pit sample	_Q	BQ, NQ, or PQ wireline system	_X	AX, BX, or NX double tube barrel	N	Standard penetration test, blows per foot	CR	Core recovery, percent	WL	Water level	?	Water level	NMR	No measurement recorded, primarily due to presence of drilling or coring fluid	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;">Symbol</td> <td>Description</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W</td> <td>Water content</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>Dry density - pounds per cubic foot</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LL</td> <td>Liquid limit - ASTM** D 4318</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PL</td> <td>Plastic limit - ASTM D 4318</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">--- Inserts in Last Column (Qu or RQD) ---</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Qu</td> <td>Unconfined compressive strength, psf - ASTM D 2166</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pq</td> <td>Penetrometer reading, tsf</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ts</td> <td>Torvane reading, tsf</td> </tr> <tr> <td>G</td> <td>Specific gravity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SL</td> <td>Shrinkage limits - ASTM D 427</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OC</td> <td>Organic content - Combustion method</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SP</td> <td>Swell pressure, tsf</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PS</td> <td>Percent swell under pressure</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FS</td> <td>Free swell, percent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SS</td> <td>Shrink swell, percent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>pH</td> <td>Hydrogen ion content - Meter Method</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SC</td> <td>Sulfate content, parts/million or mg/l</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CC</td> <td>Chloride content, parts/million or mg/l</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C*</td> <td>One dimensional consolidation - ASTM D 2435</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Qc*</td> <td>Triaxial compression</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D.S.*</td> <td>Direct shear - ASTM D 3080</td> </tr> <tr> <td>K*</td> <td>Coefficient of permeability, cm/sec</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DH*</td> <td>Double hydrometer - ASTM D 4221</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MA*</td> <td>Particle size analysis - ASTM D 422</td> </tr> <tr> <td>R</td> <td>Laboratory electrical resistivity, ohm-cm - ASTM G 57</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E*</td> <td>Pressuremeter deformation modulus, tsf</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PM*</td> <td>Pressuremeter test</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VS*</td> <td>Field vane shear - ASTM D 2573</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IR*</td> <td>Infiltrometer test - ASTM D 3385</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RQD</td> <td>Rock quality designation, percent</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">* Results shown on attached data sheet or graph</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">** ASTM designates American Society for Testing and Materials</td> </tr> </table>	Symbol	Description	W	Water content	D	Dry density - pounds per cubic foot	LL	Liquid limit - ASTM** D 4318	PL	Plastic limit - ASTM D 4318	--- Inserts in Last Column (Qu or RQD) ---		Qu	Unconfined compressive strength, psf - ASTM D 2166	Pq	Penetrometer reading, tsf	Ts	Torvane reading, tsf	G	Specific gravity	SL	Shrinkage limits - ASTM D 427	OC	Organic content - Combustion method	SP	Swell pressure, tsf	PS	Percent swell under pressure	FS	Free swell, percent	SS	Shrink swell, percent	pH	Hydrogen ion content - Meter Method	SC	Sulfate content, parts/million or mg/l	CC	Chloride content, parts/million or mg/l	C*	One dimensional consolidation - ASTM D 2435	Qc*	Triaxial compression	D.S.*	Direct shear - ASTM D 3080	K*	Coefficient of permeability, cm/sec	DH*	Double hydrometer - ASTM D 4221	MA*	Particle size analysis - ASTM D 422	R	Laboratory electrical resistivity, ohm-cm - ASTM G 57	E*	Pressuremeter deformation modulus, tsf	PM*	Pressuremeter test	VS*	Field vane shear - ASTM D 2573	IR*	Infiltrometer test - ASTM D 3385	RQD	Rock quality designation, percent	* Results shown on attached data sheet or graph		** ASTM designates American Society for Testing and Materials	
Symbol	Description																																																																																																																				
HSA	3 1/4" I.D. hollow stem auger																																																																																																																				
_FA	4", 6" or 10" diameter flight auger																																																																																																																				
_HA	2", 4" or 6" hand auger																																																																																																																				
_DC	2 1/2", 4", 5" or 6" steel drive casing																																																																																																																				
_RC	Size A, B or N rotary casing																																																																																																																				
PD	Pipe drill or cleanout tube																																																																																																																				
CS	Continuous split barrel sampling																																																																																																																				
DM	Drilling mud																																																																																																																				
JW	Jetting water																																																																																																																				
SB	2" O.D. split barrel sampling																																																																																																																				
_L	2 1/2" or 3 1/2" O.D. SB liner sample																																																																																																																				
_T	2" or 3" thin walled tube sample																																																																																																																				
3TP	3" thin walled tube using pitcher sampler																																																																																																																				
_TO	2" or 3" thin walled tube using Osterberg sampler																																																																																																																				
W	Wash sample																																																																																																																				
B	Bag sample																																																																																																																				
P	Test pit sample																																																																																																																				
_Q	BQ, NQ, or PQ wireline system																																																																																																																				
_X	AX, BX, or NX double tube barrel																																																																																																																				
N	Standard penetration test, blows per foot																																																																																																																				
CR	Core recovery, percent																																																																																																																				
WL	Water level																																																																																																																				
?	Water level																																																																																																																				
NMR	No measurement recorded, primarily due to presence of drilling or coring fluid																																																																																																																				
Symbol	Description																																																																																																																				
W	Water content																																																																																																																				
D	Dry density - pounds per cubic foot																																																																																																																				
LL	Liquid limit - ASTM** D 4318																																																																																																																				
PL	Plastic limit - ASTM D 4318																																																																																																																				
--- Inserts in Last Column (Qu or RQD) ---																																																																																																																					
Qu	Unconfined compressive strength, psf - ASTM D 2166																																																																																																																				
Pq	Penetrometer reading, tsf																																																																																																																				
Ts	Torvane reading, tsf																																																																																																																				
G	Specific gravity																																																																																																																				
SL	Shrinkage limits - ASTM D 427																																																																																																																				
OC	Organic content - Combustion method																																																																																																																				
SP	Swell pressure, tsf																																																																																																																				
PS	Percent swell under pressure																																																																																																																				
FS	Free swell, percent																																																																																																																				
SS	Shrink swell, percent																																																																																																																				
pH	Hydrogen ion content - Meter Method																																																																																																																				
SC	Sulfate content, parts/million or mg/l																																																																																																																				
CC	Chloride content, parts/million or mg/l																																																																																																																				
C*	One dimensional consolidation - ASTM D 2435																																																																																																																				
Qc*	Triaxial compression																																																																																																																				
D.S.*	Direct shear - ASTM D 3080																																																																																																																				
K*	Coefficient of permeability, cm/sec																																																																																																																				
DH*	Double hydrometer - ASTM D 4221																																																																																																																				
MA*	Particle size analysis - ASTM D 422																																																																																																																				
R	Laboratory electrical resistivity, ohm-cm - ASTM G 57																																																																																																																				
E*	Pressuremeter deformation modulus, tsf																																																																																																																				
PM*	Pressuremeter test																																																																																																																				
VS*	Field vane shear - ASTM D 2573																																																																																																																				
IR*	Infiltrometer test - ASTM D 3385																																																																																																																				
RQD	Rock quality designation, percent																																																																																																																				
* Results shown on attached data sheet or graph																																																																																																																					
** ASTM designates American Society for Testing and Materials																																																																																																																					

DESCRIPTORS OF N-VALUES VS. SOIL PROPERTIES				DESCRIPTORS OF SOIL CONDITIONS	
N Value	Density	N Value	Consistency	Condition	Description
0 - 4	Very loose	0 - 4	Very soft	Lamination	Up to 1/2" thick stratum
5 - 10	Loose	5 - 8	Soft	Layer	1/2" to 6" thick stratum
11 - 30	Medium dense	9 - 15	Firm	Dry	Powdery, no noticeable water
31 - 50	Dense	16 - 30	Hard	Moist	Below saturation
Over 50	Very dense	Over 30	Very hard	Wet	Saturated, above liquid limit
				Waterbearing	Pervious soil below water
				Varved	Alternating laminations of any combinations of clay, silt and fine grained sand

DESCRIPTORS OF GRAVEL PROPORTIONS IN SOILS			DESCRIPTORS OF PARTICLE SIZES	
Soil Type	Description	Range, %	Material Type	Size
Coarse grained soils	A little gravel	2 - 14	Boulders	Over 12"
Coarse grained soils	With gravel	15 - 49	Cobbles	3" - 12"
Fine grained soils:			Coarse gravel	3/4" - 3"
71-85% passing #200 sieve	A little gravel	2 - 7	Fine gravel	#4 sieve - 3/4"
71-85% passing #200 sieve	With gravel	8 - 29	Coarse sand	#4 - #10 sieve
70% passing #200 sieve	A little gravel	2 - 14	Medium sand	#10 - #40 sieve
70% passing #200 sieve	With gravel	15 - 24	Fine sand	#40 - #200 sieve
70% passing #200 sieve	Gravelly	16 - 49	Silt	100% passing #200 sieve and > 0.002mm
			Clay	100% passing #200 sieve and < 0.002mm

SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART

MAJOR DIVISIONS			SYMBOLS		TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS
			GRAPH	LETTER	
COARSE GRAINED SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	GRAVEL AND GRAVELLY SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	CLEAN GRAVELS (LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
		GRAVELS WITH FINES (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
		CLEAN SANDS (LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
		SANDS WITH FINES (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	FINE GRAINED SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SILTS AND CLAYS LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
				CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
		SILTS AND CLAYS LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		MH	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS
				CH	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY
				OH	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS				PT	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS

<<TemplateID>> <<Format<<Now>> <<idNumSlash>> >>

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS

APPENDIX B – LABORATORY TEST PROGRAM

- B.1 Testing Scope
- B.2 Index Properties
- B.3 Strength Testing

Attachments to Appendix B

Mechanical Sieve Analysis

B. LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

B.1 Testing Scope


Laboratory testing was proposed to characterize soils index properties including Atterberg limits (liquid and plastic limits), mechanical sieve analysis, and moisture content.

B.2 Index Properties

Testing and classification of soils was performed in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System as described in ASTM D 2487. Atterberg limits were performed according to ASTM D 4318. Moisture content was determined in accordance with ASTM D 2216. The dry density was determined with direct measurement procedures. Mechanical sieve analysis was performed in accordance with ASTM D 422.

B.3 Strength Testing

No additional strength testing was performed.



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Boring No.	Depth (ft)	Classification				MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
● SB-4	12.0	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL				23					

Boring No.	Depth (ft)	D100	D60	D30	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
● SB-4	12.0	1.18				0.0	34.7	65.3	

PROJECT Elementary School Addition, Parshall, ND JOB NO. G22-029
 DATE 5/26/22

PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS

Material Testing Services, LLC

APPENDIX C

Precautions for Excavating & Refilling During Cold Weather

PRECAUTIONS FOR EXCAVATING AND REFILLING DURING COLD WEATHER

The winter season North Dakota presents specific problems for foundation construction. Soils which are allowed to freeze undergo a moisture volume expansion, resulting in a loss of density. These frost-expanded soils will consolidate upon thawing, causing settlement of any structure supported on them. To prevent this settlement, frost should not be allowed to penetrate into the soils below any proposed structure.

Ideally, winter excavation should be limited to areas small enough to be refilled to a grade higher than footing grade on the same day. Typically, these areas should be filled to floor grade. Trenching back down to unfrozen soils for foundation construction can then be performed just prior to footing placement. The excavated trenches should be protected from freezing by means of insulating or heating during foundation construction. Backfilling of the foundation trenches should be performed immediately after the below-grade foundation construction is finished. In addition, any interior footings, or footings designed without frost protection should be extended below frost depth, unless adequate precautions are taken to prevent frost intrusion until the building can be enclosed and heated.

In many cases, final grade cannot be attained in one day's time, even though small areas are worked. In the event final grade cannot be attained in one day's time, frost can be expected to develop overnight. The depth of frost penetration can be minimized by leaving a layer of loose soil on top of the compacted material overnight. However, any frost which forms in this loose layer, or snow, should never be used as fill material.

After the structure has been enclosed, all floor slab areas should be subjected to ample periods of heating to allow thawing of the soil system. Alternatively, the frozen soils can be completely removed and be replaced with an engineered fill. The floor slab areas should be checked at random and representative locations for remnant areas of frost, and density tests should be performed to document fill compaction prior to slab placement.

Due to the potential problems associated with fill placement during cold weather, any filling operations should be monitored by a full-time, on-site soils technician. Full-time monitoring aids in detecting areas of frozen material, or potential problems with frozen material within the fill, so that appropriate measures can be taken. The choice of fill material is particularly important during cold weather, since clean granular fill materials can be placed and compacted more efficiently than silty or clayey soils. In addition, greater magnitudes of heaving can be expected with freezing of the more frost susceptible silts and clays.

If more specific frost information or cold weather data concerning other construction materials is required, please contact us.